Cartesian Product Of Interval Neutrosophic Automata

V. Karthikeyan ¹ R. Karuppaiya ²

¹Department of Mathematics, Government College of Engineering, Dharmapuri, Tamil Nadu, India.

Article History: Received: 11 January 2021; Revised: 12 February 2021; Accepted: 27 March 2021; Published online: 23 May 2021

Abstract

We introduce Cartesian product of interval neutrosophic automata and prove that Cartesian product of cyclic interval neutrosophic automata is cyclic

Key words: Cyclic, Cartesian product.

AMS Mathematics subject classification: 03D05, 20M35, 18 B20, 68Q45, 68Q70, 94 A45

1 Introduction

The neutrosophic set was introduced by Florentin Smarandache in 1999 [6]. The neutrosophic set is the generalization of classical sets, fuzzy set [11] and so on. The fuzzy set was introduced by Zadeh in 1965[11]. Bipolar fuzzy set, YinYang bipolar fuzzy set, NPN fuzzy set were introduced by W. R. Zhang in [8, 9, 10].

A neutrosophic set N is classified by a Truth membership T_N , Indeterminacy membership I_N , and Falsity membership F_N , where T_N , I_N , and F_N are real standard and non-standard subsets of I_N I_N . Interval-valued neutrosophic sets was introduced by Wang etal.,[7]. The concept of interval neutrosophic finite state machine was introduced by Tahir Mahmood [5]. Generalized products of directable fuzzy automata are discussed in [1]. Retrievability, subsystems, and strong subsystems of INA were introduced in the papers [2, 3, 4].

In this paper, we introduce Cartesian product of interval neutrosophic automata and prove that Cartesian product of cyclic interval neutrosophic automata is cyclic.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Neutrosophic Set [6]

Let U be the universal set. A neutrosophic set (NS) N in U is classified by a truth membership T_N , an indeterminacy membership I_N and a falsity membership F_N , where T_N , I_N , and F_N are real standard or non-standard subsets of $]0^-,1^+[$. That is

```
N = \{\langle x, T_N(x), I_N(x), F_N(x) \rangle, x \in U, T_N, I_N, F_N \in ] 0^-, 1^+[ \} \text{ and } 0^- \le \sup T_N(x) + \sup I_N(x) + \sup F_N(x) \le 3^+. \text{ We need to take the interval } [0,1] \text{ for instead of } ] 0^-, 1^+[.
```

.2.2 **Definition** [7]

An interval neutrosophic set (*INS* for short) is $N = \{\langle \alpha_N(x), \beta_N(x), \gamma_N(x) \rangle | x \in U \}$ = $\{\langle x, [\inf \alpha_N(x), \sup \alpha_N(x)], [\inf \beta_N(x), \sup \beta_N(x)], [\inf \gamma_N(x), \sup \gamma_N(x)] \rangle \}, x \in U$, where $\alpha_N(x), \beta_N(x)$, and $\gamma_N(x)$ representing the truth-membership, indeterminacy-membership and falsity membership for each $x \in U$. $\alpha_N(x)$, $\beta_N(x)$, $\gamma_N(x) \subseteq [0,1]$ and the condition that $0 \le \sup \alpha_N(x) + \sup \beta_N(x) + \sup \gamma_N(x) \le 3$.

2.3 Definition [7]

An *INS N* is empty if $\inf \alpha_N(x) = \sup \alpha_N(x) = 0$, $\inf \beta_N(x) = \sup \beta_N(x) \ 1$, $\inf \gamma_N(x) = \sup \gamma_N(x) = 1$ for all $x \in U$.

3 Interval Neutrosophic Automata

3.1 Definition [5]

 $M = (Q, \Sigma, N)$ is called interval neutrosophic automaton (INA for short), where Q and Σ are non-empty finite sets called the set of states and input symbols respectively, and $N = \{(\alpha_N(x), \beta_N(x), \gamma_N(x))\}$ is an INS in $Q \times \{(\alpha_N(x), \beta_N(x), \gamma_N(x))\}$ is an INS in $Q \times \{(\alpha_N(x), \beta_N(x), \gamma_N(x))\}$ is an INS in $Q \times \{(\alpha_N(x), \beta_N(x), \gamma_N(x))\}$ is an INS in $Q \times \{(\alpha_N(x), \beta_N(x), \gamma_N(x))\}$ is an INS in $Q \times \{(\alpha_N(x), \beta_N(x), \gamma_N(x))\}$ is an INS in $Q \times \{(\alpha_N(x), \beta_N(x), \gamma_N(x))\}$ is an INS in $Q \times \{(\alpha_N(x), \beta_N(x), \gamma_N(x))\}$ is an INS in $Q \times \{(\alpha_N(x), \beta_N(x), \gamma_N(x))\}$ is an INS in $Q \times \{(\alpha_N(x), \beta_N(x), \gamma_N(x), \gamma_N(x))\}$ is an INS in $Q \times \{(\alpha_N(x), \beta_N(x), \gamma_N(x), \gamma_N(x), \gamma_N(x), \gamma_N(x))\}$

²Department of Mathematics, Annamalai University, Chidambaram, Tamil Nadu, India.

 $\Sigma \times Q$. The set of all words of finite length of Σ is denoted by Σ^* . The empty word is denoted by ϵ and the length of each $x \in \Sigma^*$ is denoted by |x|.

3.2 Definition [5]

$$M=(Q,\Sigma,N)$$
 be an INA. Define an INS $N^*=\{\langle \alpha_{N^*}(x),\ \beta_{N^*}(x),\gamma_{N^*}(x)\rangle\}$ in $Q\times \Sigma^*\times Q$ by

$$\alpha_{N^*}(q_i,\epsilon,q_j) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} [1,1] \ if \ q_i = \ q_j \\ [0,0] \ if \ q_i \ \neq \ q_j \end{array} \right.$$

$$\beta_{N^*}(q_i, \epsilon, q_j) = \begin{cases} [0, 0] & \text{if } q_i = q_j \\ [1, 1] & \text{if } q_i \neq q_j \end{cases}$$

$$\gamma_{N^*}(q_i, \epsilon, q_j) = \begin{cases} [0, 0] & \text{if } q_i = q_j \\ [1, 1] & \text{if } q_i \neq q_j \end{cases}$$

$$\alpha_{N^*}(q_i, w, q_j) = \alpha_{N^*}(q_i, xy, q_j) = \bigvee_{q_r \in Q} \left[\alpha_{N^*}(q_i, x, q_r) \land \alpha_{N^*}(q_r, y, q_j) \right]$$

$$\beta_{N^*}(q_i, w, q_j) = \beta_{N^*}(q_i, xy, q_j) = \Lambda_{q_r \in Q} \left[\beta_{N^*}(q_i, x, q_r) \vee \beta_{N^*}(q_r, y, q_j) \right]$$

 $\gamma_{N^*}(q_i, w, q_j) = \ \gamma_{N^*}(q_i, \ xy, \ q_j) = \Lambda_{q_r \in Q} \left[\ \gamma_{N^*}(q_i, \ x, \ q_r) \lor \ \gamma_{N^*}(q_r, \ y, \ q_j) \right] \forall \ q_i, \ q_j \in Q, w = xy \ , x \in \Sigma^* \ \text{and} \ y \in \Sigma.$

4 Cartesian Composition of Interval Neutrosophic Automata

4.1 Definition

Let $M_i = (Q_i, \Sigma_i, N_i)$, i = 1, 2 be interval neutrosophic automata and let $\Sigma_1 \cap \Sigma_2 = \emptyset$. Let $M_1 \times M_2 = (Q_1 \times Q_2, \Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_2, N_1 \times N_2)$, where

$$(\alpha_1 \times \alpha_2) \left(\left(q_i, q_j \right), a, (q_k, q_l) \right) = \begin{cases} \alpha_1(q_i, a, q_k) > [0, 0] \text{ if } a \in \Sigma_1 \text{ and } q_j = q_l \\ \alpha_2(q_i, a, q_k) > [0, 0] \text{ if } a \in \Sigma_2 \text{ and } q_i = q_k \\ 0 \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$(\beta_1 \times \beta_2) \left(\left(q_i, q_j \right), a, \left(q_k, q_l \right) \right) = \begin{cases} \beta_1(q_i, a, q_k) < [1,1] \text{ if } a \in \Sigma_1 \text{ and } q_j = q_l \\ \beta_2(q_i, a, q_k) < [1,1] \text{ if } a \in \Sigma_2 \text{ and } q_i = q_k \\ 0 \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$(\gamma_1 \times \gamma_2) \left(\left(q_i, q_j \right), a, \left(q_k, q_l \right) \right) = \begin{cases} \gamma_1(q_i, a, q_k) < [1,1] \text{ if } a \in \Sigma_1 \text{ and } q_j = q_l \\ \gamma_2(q_i, a, q_k) < [1,1] \text{ if } a \in \Sigma_2 \text{ and } q_i = q_k \\ 0 \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

 $\forall (q_i, q_j), (q_k, q_l) \in Q_1 \times Q_2, a \in \Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_2$. Then $M_1 \times M_2$ is called the Cartesian product of interval neutrosophic automata.

4.2 Definition

Let $M = (Q, \Sigma, N)$ be an INA. M is cyclic if $\exists q_i \in Q$ such that $Q = S(q_i)$.

4.3 Definition [2]

Let $M = (Q, \Sigma, N)$ be INA. M is connected if $\forall q_j, q_i$ and $\exists a \in \Sigma$ such that either $\alpha_N(q_i, a, q_j) > [0,0]$, $\beta_N(q_i, a, q_j) < [1,1]$, $\gamma_N(q_i, a, q_j) < [1,1]$ or $\alpha_N(q_i, a, q_i) > [0,0]$, $\beta_N(q_i, a, q_i) < [1,1]$.

4.4 Definition [2]

Let $M = (Q, \Sigma, N)$ be INA. M is strongly connected if for every $q_i, q_i \in Q$, there exists $u \in \Sigma^*$ such that $\alpha_{N^*}(q_i, u, q_i) > [0,0], \beta_{N^*}(q_i, u, q_i) < [1,1], \gamma_{N^*}(q_i, u, q_i) < [1,1].$

Let $M_i = (Q_i, \Sigma_i, N_i), i = 1, 2$ be interval neutrosophic automata and let $\Sigma_1 \cap \Sigma_2 = \emptyset$. Let $M_1 \times M_2 = (Q_1 \times Q_2, \Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_2, N_1 \times N_2)$ be the Cartesian product of M_1 and M_2 . Then $\forall x \in \Sigma_1^* \cup \Sigma_2^*, x \neq \infty$

$$(\alpha_{1} \times \alpha_{2})^{*} \left((q_{i}, q_{j}), x, (q_{k}, q_{l}) \right) = \begin{cases} \alpha_{1}(q_{i}, x, q_{k}) > [0,0] \text{ if } x \in \Sigma_{1}^{*} \text{ and } q_{j} = q_{l} \\ \alpha_{2}(q_{i}, x, q_{k}) > [0,0] \text{ if } x \in \Sigma_{2}^{*} \text{ and } q_{i} = q_{k} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$(\beta_{1} \times \beta_{2})^{*} \left((q_{i}, q_{j}), x, (q_{k}, q_{l}) \right) = \begin{cases} \beta_{1}(q_{i}, x, q_{k}) < [1,1] \text{ if } x \in \Sigma_{1}^{*} \text{ and } q_{j} = q_{l} \\ \beta_{2}(q_{i}, x, q_{k}) < [1,1] \text{ if } x \in \Sigma_{2}^{*} \text{ and } q_{i} = q_{k} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$(\gamma_{1} \times \gamma_{2})^{*} \left((q_{i}, q_{j}), x, (q_{k}, q_{l}) \right) = \begin{cases} \gamma_{1}(q_{i}, x, q_{k}) < [1,1] \text{ if } x \in \Sigma_{1}^{*} \text{ and } q_{j} = q_{l} \\ \gamma_{2}(q_{i}, x, q_{k}) < [1,1] \text{ if } x \in \Sigma_{1}^{*} \text{ and } q_{i} = q_{k} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

 $\forall (q_i,q_j),\, (q_k,q_l) \in \, Q_1 \times Q_2.$

Proof. Let $x \in \Sigma_1^* \cup \Sigma_2^*$, $x \neq \epsilon$ and let |x| = m. Let $x \in \Sigma_1^*$. The result is trivial if m = 1. Let the result is true $\forall y \in \Sigma_1^*$, |y| = m - 1, m > 1. Let x = ay where $a \in \Sigma_1$, $y \in \Sigma_1^*$. Now,

$$\begin{split} &(\alpha_{N_1}\times\alpha_{N_2})^*\left(\left(q_i,q_j\right),x,(q_k,q_l)\right) = (\alpha_{N_1}\times\alpha_{N_2})^*\left(\left(q_i,q_j\right),ay,(q_k,q_l)\right) \\ &= \bigvee_{(q_r,q_s)\in Q_1} \left\{\alpha_{N_1}(q_i,a,q_r) \wedge (\alpha_{N_1}\times\alpha_{N_2})^*((q_r,q_s),y,(q_k,q_l))\right\} \\ &= \bigvee_{q_r\in Q_1} \left\{\alpha_{N_1}(q_i,a,q_r) \wedge (\alpha_{N_1}\times\alpha_{N_2})^*((q_r,q_s),y,(q_k,q_l))\right\} \\ &= \left\{\bigvee_{q_r\in Q_1} \left\{\alpha_{N_1}(q_i,a,q_r) \wedge (\alpha_{N_1}\times\alpha_{N_2})^*((q_r,q_s),y,(q_k,q_l))\right\} \\ &= \left\{\begin{matrix} \alpha_{N_1}^*(q_i,ay,q_k) > [0,0] \ if \ q_j = q_l \\ 0 \ otherwise \end{matrix}\right. \\ &= \left\{\begin{matrix} \alpha_{N_1}^*(q_i,ay,q_k) > [0,0] \ if \ q_j = q_l \\ 0 \ otherwise \end{matrix}\right. \\ &= \left\{\begin{matrix} \alpha_{N_1}^*(q_i,ay,q_k) > [0,0] \ if \ q_j = q_l \\ 0 \ otherwise \end{matrix}\right. \\ &= \left\{\begin{matrix} \alpha_{N_1}^*(q_i,ay,q_k) > [0,0] \ if \ q_j = q_l \\ 0 \ otherwise \end{matrix}\right. \\ &= \left\{\begin{matrix} \alpha_{N_1}^*(q_i,ay,q_k) > [0,0] \ if \ q_j = q_l \\ 0 \ otherwise \end{matrix}\right. \\ &= \left\{\begin{matrix} \alpha_{N_1}^*(q_i,ay,q_k) > [0,0] \ if \ q_j = q_l \\ 0 \ otherwise \end{matrix}\right. \\ &= \left\{\begin{matrix} \alpha_{N_1}^*(q_i,a_j),x,(q_k,q_l) \rangle = (\beta_{N_1}\times\beta_{N_2})^*\left((q_i,q_j),ay,(q_k,q_l)\right) \\ &= \bigwedge_{q_r\in Q_1} \left\{\beta_{N_1}(q_i,a,q_r) \vee (\beta_{N_1}\times\beta_{N_2})^*((q_r,q_s),y,(q_k,q_l))\right\} \\ &= \left\{\begin{matrix} \alpha_{N_1}\in Q_1 \left\{\beta_{N_1}(q_i,a,q_r) \vee (\beta_{N_1}\times\beta_{N_2})^*((q_i,q_j),ay,(q_k,q_l)\right) \\ &= \left\{\begin{matrix} \alpha_{N_1}^*(q_i,ay,q_k) < [1,1] \ if \ q_j = q_l \\ 0 \ otherwise \end{matrix}\right. \\ &= \left\{\begin{matrix} \alpha_{N_1}^*(q_i,ay,q_k) < [1,1] \ if \ q_j = q_l \\ 0 \ otherwise \end{matrix}\right. \\ &= \left\{\begin{matrix} \alpha_{N_1}^*(q_i,a_i,a,q_r) \vee (\gamma_{N_1}\times\gamma_{N_2})^*((q_i,q_s),y,(q_k,q_l)) \\ &= \bigwedge_{q_r\in Q_1} \left\{\gamma_{N_1}(q_i,a,q_r) \vee (\gamma_{N_1}\times\gamma_{N_2})^*((q_r,q_s),y,(q_k,q_l)) \right\} \\ &= \left\{\begin{matrix} \alpha_{q_r\in Q_1} \left\{\gamma_{N_1}(q_i,a,q_r) \vee (\gamma_{N_1}\times\gamma_{N_2})^*((q_r,q_s),y,(q_k,q_l)) \right\} \\ &= \left\{\begin{matrix} \alpha_{q_r\in Q_1} \left\{\gamma_{N_1}(q_i,a,q_r) \vee (\gamma_{N_1}\times\gamma_{N_2})^*((q_r,q_s),y,(q_k,q_l)) \right\} \\ &= \left\{\begin{matrix} \alpha_{q_r\in Q_1} \left\{\gamma_{N_1}(q_i,a,q_r) \vee (\gamma_{N_1}\times\gamma_{N_2})^*((q_r,q_s),y,(q_k,q_l)) \right\} \\ &= \left\{\begin{matrix} \alpha_{q_r\in Q_1} \left\{\gamma_{N_1}(q_i,a,q_r) \vee (\gamma_{N_1}\times\gamma_{N_2})^*((q_r,q_s),y,(q_k,q_l)) \right\} \\ &= \left\{\begin{matrix} \alpha_{q_r\in Q_1} \left\{\gamma_{N_1}(q_i,a,q_r) \vee (\gamma_{N_1}\times\gamma_{N_2})^*((q_r,q_s),y,(q_k,q_l)) \right\} \\ &= \left\{\begin{matrix} \alpha_{q_r\in Q_1} \left\{\gamma_{N_1}(q_i,a,q_r) \vee (\gamma_{N_1}\times\gamma_{N_2})^*((q_r,q_s),y,(q_k,q_l)) \right\} \\ &= \left\{\begin{matrix} \alpha_{q_r\in Q_1} \left\{\gamma_{N_1}(q_i,a,q_r) \vee (\gamma_{N_1}\times\gamma_{N_2})^*(q_r,q_s),y,(q_k,q_l) \right\} \\$$

Let $M_i = (Q_i, \Sigma_i, N_i)$, i = 1, 2 be INA and let $\Sigma_1 \cap \Sigma_2 = \emptyset$. Then $\forall x \in \Sigma_1^*, y \in \Sigma_2^*$, $(\alpha_{N_1} \times \alpha_{N_2})^* ((p_i, p_j), xy, (q_i, q_j)) = \alpha_{N_1}^* (p_i, x, q_i) \wedge \alpha_{N_2}^* (p_j, y, q_j)$ $= (\alpha_{N_1} \times \alpha_{N_2})^* ((p_i, p_i), yx, (q_i, q_i))$ $(\beta_{N_1} \times \beta_{N_2})^* ((p_i, p_j), xy, (q_i, q_j)) = \beta_{N_1}^* (p_i, x, q_i) \vee \beta_{N_2}^* (p_j, y, q_j)$ $= (\beta_{N_1} \times \beta_{N_2})^* \left((p_i, p_j), yx, (q_i, q_j) \right)$ $(\gamma_{N_1} \times \gamma_{N_2})^* \left((p_i, p_j), xy, (q_i, q_j) \right) = \gamma_{N_1}^* (p_i, x, q_i) \vee \gamma_{N_2}^* (p_j, y, q_j)$ $= (\gamma_{N_1} \times \gamma_{N_2})^* ((p_i, p_i), yx, (q_i, q_i)),$ $(p_i, p_j), (q_i, q_j) \in Q_1 \times Q_2.$ Proof.

Let
$$\in \Sigma_1^*, y \in \Sigma_2^*$$
, $(p_i, p_j), (q_i, q_j) \in Q_1 \times Q_2$. If $x = \epsilon = y$, then $xy = \epsilon$. Suppose $(p_i, p_j) = (q_i, q_j)$. Then $p_i = q_i$ and $p_j = q_i$. Hence
$$(\alpha_{N_1} \times \alpha_{N_2})^* ((p_i, p_j), xy, (q_i, q_j)) = [1.1] = [1.1] \wedge [1.1] = \alpha_{N_1}^* (p_i, x, q_i) \wedge \alpha_{N_2}^* (p_j, y, q_j)$$

$$(\beta_{N_1} \times \beta_{N_2})^* ((p_i, p_j), xy, (q_i, q_j)) = [0.0] = [0.0] \vee [0.0] = \gamma_{N_1}^* (p_i, x, q_i) \vee \beta_{N_1}^* (p_i, x, q_i)$$

$$(y_{N_1} \times y_{N_2})^* ((p_i, p_j), xy, (q_i, q_j)) = [0.0] = [0.0] \vee [0.0] = \gamma_{N_1}^* (p_i, x, q_i) \vee \gamma_{N_2}^* (p_j, y, q_j)$$
If $(p_i, p_i) \neq (q_i, q_j)$, then either $p_i \neq q_i$ or $p_i \neq q_i$.

Thus, $\alpha_{N_1}^* (p_i, x, q_i) \wedge \alpha_{N_2}^* (p_j, y, q_j) = [1.1]$.

Hence $(\alpha_{N_1} \times \alpha_{N_2})^* ((p_i, p_j), xy, (q_i, q_j)) = [0.0] = \alpha_{N_1}^* (p_i, x, q_i) \vee \beta_{N_1}^* (p_i, x, q_i)$

$$(\beta_{N_1} \times \beta_{N_2})^* ((p_i, p_j), xy, (q_i, q_j)) = [1.1] = \beta_{N_1}^* (p_i, x, q_i) \wedge \beta_{N_2}^* (p_j, y, q_j)$$

$$(\beta_{N_1} \times \beta_{N_2})^* ((p_i, p_j), xy, (q_i, q_j)) = [1.1] = \gamma_{N_1}^* (p_i, x, q_i) \vee \gamma_{N_2}^* (p_j, y, q_j)$$
If $x = \epsilon$ and $y \neq \epsilon$ or $x \neq \epsilon$ and $y = \epsilon$, then the result follows by Theorem 4.1. Suppose $x \neq \epsilon$ and $y \neq \epsilon$. Now,

$$(\alpha_{N_1} \times \alpha_{N_2})^* ((p_i, p_j), xy, (q_i, q_j)) = (p_i, p_j) \wedge (q_i, q_i) \wedge (q_i, q_i$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \alpha_{N_1}{}^*(q_i,u,q_k) \ \land \ \alpha_{N_2}{}^*\big(p_j,v,p_l\big) = \ (\alpha_{N_1}\times\alpha_{N_2})^*\left(\big(q_i,p_j\big),w,(q_k,p_l)\right) > [0,0] \\ \beta_{N_1}{}^*(q_i,u,q_k) \ \lor \ \beta_{N_2}{}^*\big(p_j,v,p_l\big) = \ (\beta_{N_1}\times\beta_{N_2})^*\left(\big(q_i,p_j\big),w,(q_k,p_l)\right) < [1,1] \\ \gamma_{N_1}{}^*(q_i,u,q_k) \ \lor \ \gamma_{N_2}{}^*\big(p_j,v,p_l\big) = \ (\gamma_{N_1}\times\gamma_{N_2})^*\left(\big(q_i,p_j\big),w,(q_k,p_l)\right) < [1,1]. \\ \text{Hence } \exists \ u \in \Sigma_1{}^* \ \text{and} \ v \in \Sigma_2{}^* \ \text{such that} \ \alpha_{N_1}{}^*(q_i,u,q_k) > [0,0], \ \beta_{N_1}{}^*(q_i,u,q_k) < [1,1], \ \gamma_{N_1}{}^*(q_i,u,q_k) < [1,1] \\ \text{and} \ \alpha_{N_2}{}^*\big(p_j,v,p_l\big) > [0,0], \ \beta_{N_2}{}^*\big(p_j,v,p_l\big) < [1,1], \gamma_{N_2}{}^*\big(p_j,v,p_l\big) < [1,1]. \ \text{Thus} \ q_k \in S(q_i) \ \text{and} \ p_l \in S(p_j). \\ \text{Hence} \ Q_1 \in S(q_i) \ \text{and} \ Q_2 \in S(p_j). \ \text{Therefore} \ M_1 \times M_2 \ \text{is cyclic.} \\ \textbf{5 Conclusion} \end{array}$$

The purpose of this paper is to study the Cartesian product of INA. We prove that Cartesian product of cyclic of interval neutrosophic automata is cyclic.

References

- [1] V. Karthikeyan, N. Mohanarao, and S. Sivamani, Generalized products of directable fuzzy Automata, Material Today: Proceedings, 37(2), 2021, 35313533.
- [2] V. Karthikeyan, and R. Karuppaiya, Retrievebility in Interval Neutrosophic Automata, Advance in Mathematics: Scientific Journal, 9(4), 2020, 1637-1644.
- [3] V. Karthikeyan, and R. Karuppaiya, Subsystems of Interval Neutrosophic Automata, Advance in Mathematics: Scientific Journal, 9(4), 2020, 1653-1659.
- [4] V. Karthikeyan, and R. Karuppaiya, Strong subsystems of Interval Neutrosophic Automata, Advance in Mathematics: Scientific Journal, 9(4), 2020, 1645-1651.
- [5] T. Mahmood, and Q. Khan, Interval neutrosophic finite switchboard state machine, Afr. Mat. 20(2), 2016, 191-210.
- [6] F. Smarandache, A Unifying Field in Logics, Neutrosophy: Neutrosophic Probability, set and Logic, Rehoboth: American Research Press, 1999.
- [7] H. Wang, F. Smarandache, Y.Q. Zhang, and R. Sunderraman, Interval Neutrosophic Sets and Logic, Theory and Applications in Computing, 5, 2005, Hexis, Phoenix, AZ.
- [8] W. R. Zhang, Bipolar fuzzy sets and relations: A computational framework for cognitive modeling and multiagent decision analysis, Proc. 1st Int. Joint Conf. North American Fuzzy Information Processing Society Biannual Conf., San Antonio, TX, USA, 1994, 305–309.
- [9] W. R. Zhang, YinYang bipolar fuzzy sets, Proc. IEEE World Congr. Computational Intelligence, Anchorage, Alaska, 1998, 835–840.
- [10] W. R. Zhang, NPN Fuzzy Sets and NPN Qualitative-Algebra: A Computational Framework for Bipolar Cognitive Modeling and Multiagent Decision Analysis, IEEE Trans. on Sys., Man, and Cybern. 26(8), 1996, 561-575.
- [11] L. A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, Information and Control, 8(3), 1965, 338-353.