

A Limit Involving the F Smarandache Square Complementary Number

QI Qiong

(Department of Mathematics, Northwest University, Xi'an 710127, China)

Abstract: The main purpose of this paper is using the analytic methods to study a limit problem involving the F Smarandache square complementary number $Ssc(n)$, and obtain its limit value.

Key words: mean value; asymptotic formula; limit

2000 MR Subject Classification: 11B83

CLC number: O156.4 **Document code:** A

Article ID: 1002-0462 (2008) 04-0494-05

§1. Introduction and Results

For any positive integer n , we call $Ssc(n)$ as the square complementary number of n , if $Ssc(n)$ is the smallest positive integer such that $nSsc(n)$ is a perfect square. That is,

$$Ssc(n) = \min\{m : mn = u^2, u \in N\}.$$

For example, $Ssc(1) = 1$, $Ssc(2) = 2$, $Ssc(3) = 3$, $Ssc(4) = 1$, $Ssc(5) = 5$, $Ssc(6) = 6$, $Ssc(7) = 7$, $Ssc(8) = 2 \cdots$. In reference [1], Professor F.Smarandache asked us to study the properties of the sequence $\{Ssc(n)\}$. About this problem, some authors had studied it, and obtained some interesting results. For example, Liu Hongyan and Gou Su^[2] used the elementary method to study the mean value properties of $Ssc(n)$ and $\frac{1}{Ssc(n)}$, and obtained two interesting asymptotic formulas. Zhang Hongli and Wang Yang^[3] studied the mean value of $\tau(Ssc(n))$, and obtained an asymptotic formula by the analytic method. Yi Yuan^[4] studied the mean value $\sum_{n \leq x} d(n + Ssc(n))$, and proved that

$$\sum_{n \leq x} d(n + Ssc(n)) = \frac{3}{4\pi^2} x \ln^2 x + A_1 x \ln x + A_2 x + O(x^{\frac{3}{4} + \epsilon}),$$

Received date: 2006-07-03

Foundation item: Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China(10671055)

Biography: QI Qiong(1981-), female, native of Yan'an, Shaanxi, M.S.D., engages in analytic number theory.

where A_1 and A_2 are computable constants, ϵ is any fixed positive number.

On the other hand, Professor Felice Russo^[5] asked us to calculate the limit $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{Ssc(n)}{\theta(n)}$, where $\theta(n) = \sum_{n \leq k} \ln(Ssc(n))$. In fact, this problem very simple, we can easily prove that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{Ssc(n)}{\theta(n)} = 0.$$

The main purpose of this paper is to study another limit problem involving square complementary number, and obtain an interesting limit theorem. That is, we shall prove the following conclusion:

Theorem Let $d(n)$ denotes the Dirichlet divisor function, then we have the limit formula

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{n \leq k} d(Ssc(n))}{\sum_{n \leq k} \ln(Ssc(n))} = \frac{6}{\pi^2} \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{1}{(p+1)^2}\right),$$

where \prod_p denotes the product over all primes p .

§2. Proof of the Theorem

In this section, we shall complete the proof of theorem. First we need the following two lemmas:

Lemma 1 Let $Ssc(n)$ denotes the square complement number of n , then for any integer $k > 1$, we have the asymptotic formula:

$$\sum_{n \leq k} d(Ssc(n)) = \frac{h(1)}{\zeta(2)} k \ln k + \frac{h(1)}{\zeta(2)} \left(2r - 1 + \frac{2\zeta'(2)}{\zeta(2)}\right) k + O(\sqrt{k} \ln^3 k),$$

where $d(n)$ is the Dirichlet divisor function, $\zeta(s)$ denotes the Riemann zeta-function, $h(1) = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{1}{(p+1)^2}\right)$, \prod_p denotes the product over all primes p , γ is the Euler's constant.

Proof See reference [3].

Lemma 2 For any real number $k > 1$, we have the asymptotic formula:

$$\sum_{n \leq k} \ln(Ssc(n)) = k \ln k - \frac{\zeta(2) + 2}{\zeta(2)} k + O(\sqrt{k} \ln^2 k).$$

Proof By the definition of the square complement and the properties of Möbius function we can get

$$\sum_{n \leq k} \ln(Ssc(n)) = \sum_{m^2 l \leq k} \ln(Ssc(m^2 l)) |\mu(l)|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{m^2 l \leq k} \ln l \sum_{d^2 | l} \mu(d) \\
&= \sum_{m^2 d^2 h \leq k} \mu(d) \ln(d^2 h) \\
&= 2 \sum_{m^2 d^2 h \leq k} \mu(d) \ln(d) + \sum_{m^2 d^2 h \leq k} \mu(d) \ln(h). \tag{1}
\end{aligned}$$

Noting that:

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{1}{n^2} &= \zeta(2) + O\left(\frac{1}{x}\right), \\
\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\mu(n)}{n^2} &= \frac{1}{\zeta(2)} + O\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$F(m) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n^m} = \frac{1}{\zeta(m)},$$

we have

$$F'(m) = - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n) \ln n}{n^m} = - \frac{\zeta'(m)}{\zeta^2(m)}.$$

From this formula we may immediately get

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n) \ln n}{n^m} = \frac{\zeta'(m)}{\zeta^2(m)}.$$

From the above we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{m^2 d^2 h \leq k} \mu(d) \ln d \tag{2} \\
&= \sum_{d^2 \leq k} \mu(d) \ln d \sum_{m \leq \frac{\sqrt{k}}{d}} \sum_{h \leq \frac{k}{m^2 d^2}} 1 \\
&= \sum_{d^2 \leq k} \mu(d) \ln d \sum_{m \leq \frac{\sqrt{k}}{d}} \left(\frac{k}{m^2 d^2} + O(1) \right) \\
&= k \sum_{d \leq \sqrt{k}} \frac{\mu(d) \ln d}{d^2} \left(\zeta(2) + O\left(\frac{d}{\sqrt{k}}\right) \right) + O\left(\sqrt{k} \sum_{d \leq \sqrt{k}} \frac{|\mu(d)| \ln d}{d} \right) \\
&= \zeta(2) k \frac{\zeta'(2)}{\zeta^2(2)} + O\left(\sqrt{k} \ln^2 k \right) \\
&= \frac{\zeta'(2)}{\zeta(2)} k + O\left(\sqrt{k} \ln^2 k \right). \tag{3}
\end{aligned}$$

From (1) and Euler's summation formula^[6] we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{m^2 d^2 h \leq k} \mu(d) \ln h \\
&= \sum_{md \leq \sqrt{k}} \mu(d) \sum_{h \leq \frac{k}{m^2 d^2}} \ln h
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \sum_{md \leq \sqrt{k}} \mu(d) \left(\frac{k}{m^2 d^2} \ln \frac{k}{m^2 d^2} - \frac{k}{m^2 d^2} + \int_1^{\frac{k}{m^2 d^2}} (t - [t]) \frac{1}{t} dt + \ln \frac{k}{m^2 d^2} ([x] - x) \right) \\
 &= k \ln k \sum_{md \leq \sqrt{k}} \frac{\mu(d)}{m^2 d^2} - k \sum_{md \leq \sqrt{k}} \frac{\mu(d) \ln m^2 d^2}{m^2 d^2} - k \sum_{md \leq \sqrt{k}} \frac{\mu(d)}{m^2 d^2} \\
 &\quad + O \left(\sum_{md \leq \sqrt{k}} |\mu(d)| \ln \frac{k}{m^2 d^2} \right). \tag{4}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_{md \leq \sqrt{k}} \frac{\mu(d)}{m^2 d^2} \\
 &= \sum_{m \leq \sqrt{k}} \frac{1}{m^2} \sum_{d \leq \frac{\sqrt{k}}{m}} \frac{\mu(d)}{d^2} \\
 &= \sum_{m \leq \sqrt{k}} \frac{1}{m^2} \left(\frac{1}{\zeta(2)} + O \left(\frac{m}{\sqrt{k}} \right) \right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{\zeta(2)} \left(\zeta(2) + O \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \right) \right) + O \left(\frac{\ln k}{\sqrt{k}} \right) \\
 &= 1 + O \left(\frac{\ln k}{\sqrt{k}} \right). \tag{5}
 \end{aligned}$$

Use the same method, we also have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_{md \leq \sqrt{k}} \frac{\mu(d) \ln m^2}{m^2 d^2} \\
 &= 2 \sum_{m \leq \sqrt{k}} \frac{\ln m}{m^2} \sum_{d \leq \frac{\sqrt{k}}{m}} \frac{\mu(d)}{d^2} \\
 &= \frac{2}{\zeta(2)} \sum_{m \leq \sqrt{k}} \frac{\ln m}{m^2} + O \left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{k}} \sum_{m \leq \sqrt{k}} \frac{\ln m}{m} \right) \\
 &= \frac{2}{\zeta(2)} + O \left(\frac{\ln^2 k}{\sqrt{k}} \right). \tag{6}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_{md \leq \sqrt{k}} \frac{\mu(d) \ln d^2}{m^2 d^2} \\
 &= 2 \sum_{d \leq \sqrt{k}} \frac{\mu(d) \ln d}{d^2} \sum_{m \leq \frac{\sqrt{k}}{d}} \frac{1}{m^2} \\
 &= 2\zeta(2) \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(d) \ln d}{d^2} + O \left(\frac{1}{k} \right) \right) + O \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \sum_{d \leq \sqrt{k}} \frac{|\mu(d)| \ln d}{d} \right) \\
 &= 2 \frac{\zeta'(2)}{\zeta(2)} + O \left(\frac{\ln^2 k}{\sqrt{k}} \right). \tag{7}
 \end{aligned}$$

Combining (4), (5) and (6) we can easily get the asymptotic formula:

$$\sum_{m^2 d^2 h \leq k} \mu(d) \ln(h) = k \ln k - \frac{2 + 2\zeta'(2) + \zeta(2)}{\zeta(2)} k + O(\sqrt{k} \ln^2 k). \quad (8)$$

Combining (1) and (7) we have

$$\sum_{n \leq k} \ln(Ssc(n)) = k \ln k - \frac{\zeta(2) + 2}{\zeta(2)} k + O(\sqrt{k} \ln^2 k).$$

This proves Lemma 2.

Now we can easily complete the proof of theorem. In fact, from Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{n \leq k} d(Ssc(n))}{\sum_{n \leq k} \ln(Ssc(n))} = \frac{\frac{h(1)}{\zeta(2)} k \ln k + \frac{h(1)}{\zeta(2)} \left(2r - 1 + \frac{2\zeta'(2)}{\zeta(2)} \right) k + O(\sqrt{k} \ln^3 k)}{k \ln k - \frac{\zeta(2) + 2}{\zeta(2)} k + O(\sqrt{k} \ln^2 k)} = \frac{h(1)}{\zeta(2)}.$$

Note that $\zeta(2) = \frac{\pi^2}{6}$ and $h(1) = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{1}{(p+1)^2} \right)$, from the above we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{n \leq k} d(Ssc(n))}{\sum_{n \leq k} \ln(Ssc(n))} = \frac{6}{\pi^2} \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{1}{(p+1)^2} \right).$$

This completes the proof of theorem.

[References]

- [1] SMARANDACHE F. Only Problems, not Solutions[M]. Chicago: Xiquan Publish House, 1993.
- [2] LIU Hong-yan, GOU Su. On a problem of F.Smarandache[J]. Journal of Yanan University(Natural Science Edition), 2001, 20(3): 5-6.
- [3] ZHANG Hong-li, WANG Yang. On the mean value of divisor function for square complements[J]. Basic Sciences Journal of Textile Universities, 2002, 15(1): 44-46.
- [4] YI Yuan. On the Asymptotic Property of Divisor Function for Additive Complements[C]. Research on Smarandache problem in number theory, 2004, 65-68.
- [5] FELICE Russo. An introduction to the Smarandache square complementary function[J]. Smarandache Notions(book series, Vol. 13): 160-172.
- [6] TOM M Apostol. Introduction to Analytic Number Theory[M]. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1976.