

Abstract Submitted
for the 4CF11 Meeting of
The American Physical Society

Neutrosophic Degree of Paradoxicity of a Scientific Statement

FLORENTIN SMARANDACHE, University of New Mexico — Let $\langle S \rangle$ be a scientific statement (in physics, mathematics, etc.). Let's also consider the implication (C_1) "If $\langle S \rangle$ is true it may result that $\langle S \rangle$ is false", and the reciprocal implication (C_2) "If $\langle S \rangle$ is false it may result that $\langle S \rangle$ is true". Both implications (conditionals) depend on other factors in order to occur or not, or they are partially true (T), partially indeterminate (I), and partially false (F) [as in neutrosophic logic]. If the implication (C_1) has the neutrosophic truth value (T_1, I_1, F_1) , and the reciprocal implication (C_2) has the neutrosophic truth value (T_2, I_2, F_2) , then the **neutrosophic degree of paradoxicity** of the statement $\langle S \rangle$ is the average of the component triplets: $((T_1 + T_2)/2, (I_1 + I_2)/2, (F_1 + F_2)/2)$, where the addition of two sets A and B (in the case when T, I, or F are sets) is simply defined as: $A + B = \{x \mid x = a + b, \text{ with } a \in A \text{ and } b \in B\}$.

Florentin Smarandache
University of New Mexico

Date submitted: 24 Aug 2011

Electronic form version 1.4